



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/072,574	02/06/2002	Markus Kukuk	2001P07456 US01	1940
7590 01/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 08830			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/072,574	KUKUK, MARKUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication	John P. Leubecker	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.5	September 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached On	ce Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece	eation No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
h 1						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,, □ , , , ,	(DTO 440)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,574 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-18, 20-25, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed to nothing more than the manipulation of abstract concepts (parameterizing, determining, etc.) without any practical application of those concepts. Eligible subject mater under 35 USC 101 includes machines, compositions of matter, articles of manufacture and processes. However, not all processes have been held to be statutory processes. The manipulation of abstract ideas in the form of a process claim has been held to be one of the exceptions to statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guthrie et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,409,686) for the reasons set forth in numbered paragraph 5 of the previous Office Action, paper number 06102004.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alft et al. (US 2003/0173113) for the reasons set forth in numbered paragraph 6 of the previous Office Action, paper number 06102004.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. With regards to claim 19, it is this examiner's opinion that the steps of inserting the scope to a landmark, etc. are a practical application of the previously recited abstract concepts and is thus statutory.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed September 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the Guthrie et al. reference, the Examiner has interpreted the probe and digitizer arm as the "flexible instrument". Due to its physical existence and particular construction, the "flexible instrument" of Guthrie et al. inherently has physical parameters and physical parameter limitations. The probe of Guthrie inherently has a length. This is a parameter. Each segment of the digitizer arm inherently has a length. These are parameters. The amount of distance the probe can travel through the probe holder (2) is inherently known. This is a parameter. The amount of rotation that the probe exhibits within the probe holder is inherently known. This is a parameter. The amount of rotation of each segment of the digitizer arm with respect to each

Art Unit: 3739

other is known. This is a parameter. The list goes on. Each of these and many other parameters are inherently recognized by anyone making or using such device. As recognized by Applicant, the "parameterizing" the flexible instrument merely involves recognizing its physical characteristics and limits on movement. Therefore, the Examiner takes the position that Guthrie et al. teaches the claimed "parameterizing" step.

For the same reasons as discussed above, the Examiner takes the position that Alft et al. teach the "parameterizing" step. It also must be pointed out that the Alft et al. bore planning method would be totally useless if physical characteristics and movement limitations of the boring machine are not taken into consideration when planning the bore plan. Recognition of these physical characteristics and movement limitations meet the "parameterizing" step.

Although previously not readily apparent to the Examiner, due to recent training, it has been determined that a rejection under 35 USC 101 is appropriate for most of the claims.

Accordingly, a rejection appears above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P. Leubecker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

jpl